

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82

have to goof around trying to get people out of speeding tickets or running stop signs or any of that garbage. So I admit I am not an expert on citations, nor do I intend to become one or want to become one. But I think what you...when you're looking at this amendment, basically your decision is do we trust the Supreme Court to make the decision about Social Security numbers, or do we not trust the Supreme Court? You trust the Supreme Court, you adopt the amendment. If you don't trust them, don't adopt the amendment. I trust them. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bruning. Senator Bourne, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President. Members, briefly, I want to indicate that I...I don't support the Senator Bruning amendment and I'll tell you why, if it matters. I think that we've seen a trend here in the Legislature and in society on the whole to increase a person's privacy rights. Senator Redfield, over the past couple of years, has introduced a bill for...regarding identity theft, and one of the ways to, as I understand it, reduce the occasion or occurrence of identity theft is to somehow keep some of these numbers, credit card numbers, Social Security numbers, a little more private or discreet, and I think that this offers a method to do that. There's a phone company that has been soliciting information and once that became public, why, you know, people went crazy. We had editorials in the newspaper. And all I think that this bill does is it reinforces a policy that the Supreme Court already has in place that we don't put Social Security numbers on citations. And, again, citations, we're talking about when your...when your grandma runs the stop sign or happens to go downhill and speed a little bit. What we're talking about is these type of individuals on citations. You run a red light or a stop sign or a speeding ticket, all it's saying is that it's...this bill is reinforcing what the Supreme Court has already said, that those numbers aren't needed. And so I think this is a good bill. I do want to talk about the process that Senator Beutler talked about and I...I feel that, as a former member of the Judiciary Committee, I think somebody else needed to talk on this in addition to Senator Brashear. It's almost been suggested that something underhanded or untoward has gone